## BELL, MCANDREWS & HILTACHK

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

455 CAPITOL MALL, SUITE 801 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

> (916) 442-7757 FAX (916) 442-7759

CHARLES H BELL, JR
COLLEEN C. MCANDREWS
THOMAS W. HILTACHK
JEFFREY E. LANGAN
JAMES F. SWEENEY
OF COUNSEL

Jun 29 10 30 AH 998

144) FOURTH STREET SANTA MONICA, CA 90401 (310) 458-1405 FAX (310) 394-4028 www.BMHlaw.com

June 22, 1998

## BY FEDERAL EXPRESS

F. Andrew Turley, Esq. Supervisory Attorney Central Enforcement Docket Federal Election Commission 999 E. Street, NW Washington DC 20463

Re: MUR 4741: Mary Bono for Congress; Kathy Parrish, Treasurer

Dear Mr. Turley:

The undersigned is designated counsel for the above-referenced Respondents.

The Respondents request the Commission to take no further action in the matter of the complaint.

The facts alleged in the complaint are correct, insofar as the mailing in question did not contain the Regulation 110.11 disclaimer. However, the omission of the disclaimer was inadvertent. The Committee produced seven mailings at or about the same time, all prepared and printed by the same vendor. The mailing in question was printed by a different vendor. Seven of the eight mailings contained the Regulation 110.11 disclaimer. One did not. There was no intent on the part of the committee to avoid disclosure. The mailing in question was an endorsement mailing. The Kaplan endorsement was very straightforward. It cannot be characterized as a "negative" or "hit piece" mailing. The omission was a printer's error. However, the Committee takes responsibility for such omissions.

With respect to the door hanger, the Committee was unaware of the requirement that the doorhanger contain the Regulation 110.11 disclaimer. The Committee assumed that the doorhanger came within the exception of subdivision (a)(6) of the regulation for "bumper stickers, pins, buttons, pens and similar small items upon which the disclaimer cannot be conveniently printed." The



Letter to F. Andrew Turley, Esq. Federal Election Commission

RE: MUR 4741 June 22, 1998

Page 2

doorhanger was in fact smaller than a typical bumper sticker, and the Committee assumed the reference to "similar small items" reflected the exemption of the doorhanger.

We can provide you with copies of the conforming mailers as well as statements of the campaign consultant and printer if necessary to corroborate the fact that the omission was inadvertent. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Very fruly yours,

Charles H. Bell, Jr.

CHB/1js

1413.01